

Atty. Docket No. STE01 P-798B

~~Technology Center 2600~~

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this paper, together with all enclosures identified herein, are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington D.C. 20231, on the date indicated below.

July 10, 2001.

Date _____

Jacki R. Buckley

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Art Unit	:	2635
Examiner	:	B. Zimmerman
Applicant	:	Joel D. Stanfield et al.
Appln. No.	:	08/998,302
Filing Date	:	December 24, 1997
Confirmation No.	:	2417
For	:	ELECTRONIC SYSTEM, COMPONENTS AND METHOD FOR TRACKING FILES

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Box Non-Fee Amendment
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith is a Response in the above-identified application.

Any fee for additional claims has been calculated as shown below:

CLAIMS AS AMENDED

	Col. 1		Col. 2	Col. 3	Small Entity		Other Than A Small Entity	
	Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Add'l Fee	Rate	Add'l Fee
Total Claims	* 63	Minus	** 63	= 0	x \$9	\$	x \$ 18	\$ 0
Independent Claims	* 14	Minus	*** 14	= 0	x \$40	\$	x \$ 80	\$ 0
First Presentation of Multiple Dependent Claims \$135						\$	x \$270	\$ 0
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT						\$		\$ 0

Applicant : Joel D. Stanfield et al.
Appln. No. : 08/998,302
Page : 2


- * If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3
** If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, write "20" in this space.
*** If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, write "3" in this space.
The "Highest No. Previously Paid For" (Total or Independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed.

1. ☐ Small entity status of this application 37 CFR §§1.9 and 1.27 has been established by a verified statement previously submitted or is enclosed.
2. ☒ No additional fee is required.
3. ☐ A check in the amount of \$ is attached.
4. ☒ Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 16 2463.

A duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.

PRICE, HENEVELD, COOPER,
DEWITT & LITTON

July 10, 2001.
Date


Terry S. Callaghan
Registration No. 34 559
695 Kenmoor, S.E.
Post Office Box 2567
Grand Rapids, Michigan 49501
(616) 949-9610

TSC/jrb



Atty. Docket No. STE01 P-798B

#26
7/18/01
D

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED

JUL 17 2001

Technology Center 2600

Art Unit : 2635
Examiner : B. Zimmerman
Applicant : Joel D. Stanfield et al.
Appln. No. : 08/998,302
Filing Date : December 24, 1997
Confirmation No. : 2417
For : ELECTRONIC SYSTEM, COMPONENTS AND METHOD
FOR TRACKING FILES

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Box Non-Fee Amendment
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

RESPONSE

This is a response to the Office Action mailed April 13, 2001.

REMARKS

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-6, 11-14, 16-18, and 38-41 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,455,409 issued to Smith et al., and either U.S. Patent No. 5,424,858 issued to Gillotte or U.S. Patent No. 4,376,936 issued to Kott; rejected claims 7 and 8 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al., Gillotte, Kott, and U.S. Patent No. 5,287,404 issued to Foster; rejected claims 9 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al., Gillotte, Kott, Foster, and U.S. Patent No. 5,426,284 issued to Doyle; rejected claim 15 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al., Gillotte, Kott, and The Dallas Semiconductor publication "Touch The Future"; rejected claim 17 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al., Gillotte, Kott, and U.S. Patent No. 3,701,987 issued to Leighton; rejected claims 19-45 and 47-58 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al., Kott, and either U.S. Patent No. 5,063,380 issued to Wakura or British Publication Application No. 2,279,789 A by Kubota; rejected claim 46 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al., Kott, Wakura, and Kubota and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,398,919 issued to Warren et al.; rejected claim 58 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al., Kott, Wakura, and Kubota in view of the